EXPRESS MAIL EL 993876786 US

PATENT COOPERATION TREATY

TPKP- Chapter II Nat'l. June 8, 2006

From the INTERNATIONAL PRELIMINARY EXAMINING AUTHORITY

To:

TRIPOLI, Joseph, S. c/o Thomson Licensing Inc.
Two Independence Way, Suite 200
Princeton, NJ 08540
ETATS-UNIS D'AMERIQUE

PCT

NOTIFICATION OF TRANSMITTAL OF THE INTERNATIONAL PRELIMINARY REPORT ON PATENTABILITY

(PCT Rule 71.1)

Date of mailing

(day/month/year)

03.04.2006

Applicant's or agent's file reference

PU030224

IMPORTANT NOTIFICATION

International application No. PCT/US2004/001747

22.01.2004

Priority date (day/month/year)

22.01.2004

Applicant

THOMSON LICENSING S.A. et al.

- 1. The applicant is hereby notified that this International Preliminary Examining Authority transmits herewith the international preliminary report on patentability and its annexes, if any, established on the international application.
- 2. A copy of the report and its annexes, if any, is being transmitted to the International Bureau for communication to all the elected Offices.

International filing date (day/month/year)

3. Where required by any of the elected Offices, the International Bureau will prepare an English translation of the report (but not of any annexes) and will transmit such translation to those Offices.

4. REMINDER

The applicant must enter the national phase before each elected Office by performing certain acts (filing translations and paying national fees) within 30 months from the priority date (or later in some Offices) (Article 39(1)) (see also the reminder sent by the International Bureau with Form PCT/IB/301).

Where a translation of the international application must be furnished to an elected Office, that translation must contain a translation of any annexes to the international preliminary report on patentability. It is the applicant's responsibility to prepare and furnish such translation directly to each elected Office concerned.

For further details on the applicable time limits and requirements of the elected Offices, see Volume II of the PCT Applicant's Guide.

The applicant's attention is drawn to Article 33(5), which provides that the criteria of novelty, inventive step and industrial applicability described in Article 33(2) to (4) merely serve the purposes of international preliminary examination and that "any Contracting State may apply additional or different criteria for the purposes of deciding whether, in that State, the claimed inventions is patentable or not" (see also Article 27(5)). Such additional criteria may relate, for example, to exemptions from patentability, requirements for enabling disclosure, clarity and support for the claims.

Name and mailing address of the international preliminary examining authority:



European Patent Office D-80298 Munich Tel. +49 89 2399 - 0 Tx: 523656 epmu d Fax: +49 89 2399 - 4465 **Authorized Officer**

Müller, K-B

Tel. +49 89 2399-2395



PATENT COOPERATION TREATY

PCT

INTERNATIONAL PRELIMINARY REPORT ON PATENTABILITY

(Chapter II of the Patent Cooperation Treaty)

(PCT Article 36 and Rule 70)

Applicant's or agent's file reference PU030224	FOR FURTHER A	CTION	See Form PCT/IPEA/416
International application No. International application No. 22.01.2004		(day/month/year)	Priority date (day/month/year) 22.01.2004
International Patent Classification INV. H04N7/00 H04N7/16	n (IPC) or national classification and 7 H04N7/173 H04N5/00	IPC	
Applicant THOMSON LICENSING	S.A. et al.		
This report is the interral Authority under Article	national preliminary examination r 35 and transmitted to the applica	eport, established by the nt according to Article 3	nis International Preliminary Examining 36.
2. This REPORT consists	s of a total of 6 sheets, including	this cover sheet.	
3. This report is also accompanied by ANNEXES, comprising:			
a. \square sent to the app	licant and to the International Bur	eau) a total of sheets,	
and/or shee	ne description, claims and/or drawets containing rectifications authoutive Instructions).	rings which have been a rized by this Authority (s	amended and are the basis of this report see Rule 70.16 and Section 607 of the
☐ sheets which beyond the Supplement	disclosure in the international ap	which this Authority con plication as filed, as inc	siders contain an amendment that goes dicated in item 4 of Box No. I and the
sequence listin	ernational Bureau only) a total of (g and/or tables related thereto, in Juence Listing (see Section 802 o	celectronic form only, a	per of electronic carrier(s)) , containing a as indicated in the Supplemental Box tructions).
4. This report contains in	dications relating to the following	items:	
☑ Box No. I Basi	s of the report		
☐ Box No. II Prior	rity		
☐ Box No. III Non-	establishment of opinion with reg	ard to novelty, inventiv	e step and industrial applicability
☐ Box No. IV Lack	of unity of invention	• •	·
⊠ Box No. V Rea appl	soned statement under Article 35 icability; citations and explanation	(2) with regard to novel as supporting such state	lty, inventive step or industrial ement
	ain documents cited		
	ain defects in the international ap		
☐ Box No. VIII Cert	ain observations on the internation	onal application	
Date of submission of the dema	and	Date of completion of	this report
08.06.2005		03.04.2006	
Name and mailing address of the preliminary examining authority	ne international	Authorized officer .	alizenes Petences.
European Paten	t Office		
D-80298 Munich Tel. +49 89 2399	9 - 0 Tx: 523656 epmu d	Lindgren, J	
Fax: +49 89 239		Telephone No. +49 89	9 2399-7620

10/580806 14P9Rec'dPCT/PTO 25 MAY 2006

INTERNATIONAL PRELIMINARY REPORT ON PATENTABILITY

International application No. PCT/US2004/001747

	Box No. I Basis of the report		
1.	ith regard to the language , this report is based on the international application in the language in which it wa ed, unless otherwise indicated under this item.		
	☐ This report is based on trans which is the language of a tr	slations from the original language into the following language, anslation furnished for the purposes of:	
	publication of the internation	er Rules 12.3 and 23.1(b)) tional application (under Rule 12.4) examination (under Rules 55.2 and/or 55.3)	
2.	With regard to the elements* of the international application, this report is based on (replacement sheets which save been furnished to the receiving Office in response to an invitation under Article 14 are referred to in this seport as "originally filed" and are not annexed to this report):		
	Description, Pages		
	1-8	as originally filed	
	Claims, Numbers		
	1-13	received on 08.06.2005 with letter of 08.06.2005	
	Drawings, Sheets		
	1/3-3/3	as originally filed	
	☐ a sequence listing and/or an	y related table(s) - see Supplemental Box Relating to Sequence Listing	
3.	☐ The amendments have resu	ulted in the cancellation of:	
	the description, pagesthe claims, Nos.		
	☐ the drawings, sheets/figs		
	the sequence listing (specified)any table(s) related to se	• •	
4.	☑ This report has been establi had not been made, since they h Supplemental Box (Rule 70.2(c)	ished as if (some of) the amendments annexed to this report and listed below have been considered to go beyond the disclosure as filed, as indicated in the).	
	☐ the description, pages ☐ the claims, Nos. 3,6-9		
	the drawings, sheets/figsthe sequence listing (specified)		
	any table(s) related to se	equence listing (specify):	
	+ If item A applies so	ome or all of these sheets may be marked "superseded."	

Box No. V Reasoned statement under Article 35(2) with regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial applicability; citations and explanations supporting such statement

1. Statement

Novelty (N)

Yes: Claims

No: Claims

1-13

Inventive step (IS)

Yes: Claims

No:

Claims

Industrial applicability (IA)

Yes: Claims

1-13

1-13

No: Claims

2. Citations and explanations (Rule 70.7):

see separate sheet

I Basis of the report

1. The claims 3 and 6-9 currently on file contain subject-matter extending beyond the content of the application as filed, contrary to Article 34(2)(b) PCT. A correspondence between said claims and the application as filed could not be established.

V Reasoned statement under Rule 66.2(a)(ii) with regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial applicability; citations and explanations supporting such statement

- 2. The following documents are referred to in this communication; the numbering will be adhered to in the rest of the procedure:
 - D1: US 2002/044658 A1 (AKINS GLENDON L ET AL) 18 April 2002 (2002-04-18)
- 3. The present application consists of 5 independent claims and as such can not be considered to be drafted in a concise manner as prescribed in Article 6 PCT.
- 4. Independent claim 1
 - The present application does not meet the criteria of Article 33(1) PCT, because the subject-matter of claim 1 is not new in the sense of Article 33(2) PCT. Document D1 discloses (the references in parenthesis applying to this document):

An access device (set top box (STB) 113, paragraph 0048) comprising: a means for communicating an impulse purchase selection;

a means for receiving an authorization key in response to the impulse purchase selection;

a means for receiving a transmission of the impulse purchase.

a means for processing the received program using the authorization key. (Paragraph 0099 discloses all of the features above)

The claim is thus not novel (Article 33(2) PCT).

2. If claim 1 was to be made novel (e.g., by adding one or several of the features of the dependent claims) or if the claim through creative interpretation would render D1 no longer novelty destroying, the claim would still not be considered

inventive (Article 33(3) PCT). Reasons are:

- 1. If one was to consider receiving authorization information upon an impulsive selection of a program by an access device as claimed in claim 1 novel or inventive, the teaching of paragraph 0048 of D1 (disclosing exactly this feature) is directed to "impulse purchase selections" and hence discloses the same idea as claim 1. It is correct that paragraph 0048 never mentions the word *impulse* but it is clear from e.g. paragraph 0099 that *impulse* pay per view IPPV is within the scope of D1 and it would be reasonable for a reader to interpret "a service may be a one-time event" of paragraph 0048 to encompass also IPPV.
- 2. The disclosure of paragraph 0099 of D1 clearly reads on to the subject-matter of claim 1. Decryption by DHCT 333 is confirmed/authorized by the entitlement agent upon reception of EMM 315, where EMM 315 is in response to an FPM from the EMM manager 407 in DHCT 333.
- 5. Independent claim 2
 The objections raised against claim 1 also apply to claim 2.
- 6. Independent claim 13
 Since method claim 13 is merely a re-formulation of apparatus claim 1, the same objections raised against claim 1 are also valid for claim 13 mutatis mutandis.
- 7. Dependent claims
 - 1. Claims 4 and 10, transmission/reception of authorization keys (EMM) via out of band frequency channels is disclosed in D1 (paragraph 0048).
 - 2. Claims 5 and 11, the message specifying a user's intention to buy an impulse PPV (IPPV) event is responded to by the entitlement agent (paragraph 0099). This necessitates a two way communication's interface as claimed in claim 5.
 - 3. Regarding claim 12, also billing/charging the client for made IPPV purchases is disclosed by D1 (paragraph 0099).
- 8. The present system/method relates to electronics and in particular to the design of a system for later production of devices that are manufactured by industry. Thus, the present claims possess industrial applicability.

INTERNATIONAL PRELIMINARY REPORT ON PATENTABILITY (SEPARATE SHEET)

International application No.

PCT/US2004/001747

J Lindgren